

Message Text

PAGE 01 USUN N 00805 091452 Z

46

ACTION IO-14

INFO OCT-01 OIC-04 AF-10 ARA-11 EA-11 EUR-25 NEA-10 RSC-01

L-03 COA-02 EB-11 ACDA-19 AEC-11 AGR-20 CG-00 COME-00

DOT-00 FMC-04 INT-08 JUSE-00 NSF-04 OMB-01 ADP-00

CIAE-00 DODE-00 PM-09 H-02 INR-09 NSAE-00 NSC-10

PA-03 PRS-01 SS-14 USIA-12 SCI-06 CEQ-02 RSR-01 /239 W
----- 010193

R 091333 Z MAR 73

FM USMISSION USUN NY

TO SECSTATE WASHDC 6925

INFO AMEMBASSY CARACAS

AMEMBASSY YAOUNDE

AMEMBASSY MOSCOW

AMEMBASSY BUENOS AIRES

AMEMBASSY CANBERRA

AMEMBASSY OTTAWA

AMEMBASSY SANTIAGO

AMEMBASSY OSLO

AMEMBASSY SINGAPORE

AMEMBASSY VALLETTA

UNCLAS USUN 0805

E. O. 11652: N/A

TAGS: PBOR, UN

SUBJ: LOS: MAIN COMMITTEE MEETING MARCH 8 - SECOND
MEETING

SUMMARY: COMMITTEE APPROVED LATIN AMERICAN GROUP
PROPOSAL SUPPORTED BY US AND UK ON THE ALLOCATION OF
WORK, I. E., TO MAINTAIN MARCH AND AUGUST 1971
ARRANGEMENTS WITH MINOR ADJUSTMENTS.

THEREFORE, THE TERMS OF REFERENCE OF THE THREE
SUB- COMMITTEES REMAIN UNCHANGED. SUB- COMMITTEE II
WOULD DEAL WITH SUBJECTS AND ISSUES NOT ALLOCATED TO
SUB- COMMITTEES I AND III, AND TO THE MAIN COMMITTEE.

UNCLASSIFIED

PAGE 02 USUN N 00805 091452 Z

ALL SUB- COMMITTEES MAY CONSIDER ITEMS ALLOCATED TO
OTHER SUB- COMMITTEES INsofar AS RELEVANT TO THEIR MAN-

DATE. MAIN COMMITTEE HAS OVERALL POLITICAL GUIDANCE AND WILL TAKE FINAL DECISIONS IN COORDINATING WORK OF SUB- COMMITTEES. AS ITEM 25 OF LIST OF SUBJECTS AND ISSUES IS NOT CONTAINED IN THE ABOVE AGREEMENTS, CHAIRMAN PROPOSED, AND IT WAS AGREED, THAT ITEM WOULD BE CONSIDERED BY MAIN COMMITTEE.

1. CHAIRMAN REVIEWED LATIN AMERICAN GROUP PROPOSAL AS DESCRIBED ABOVE, GIVEN TO HIM BY AGUILAR (VENEZUELA). CHAIRMEN OF SUB- COMMITTEES I, II, AND III EXPRESSED APPROVAL OF CHAIRMAN' S STATEMENTS.

2. USSR (MALIK), WHILE SUPPORTING CHAIRMAN' S PROPOSALS, THOUGHT THAT SUB- COMMITTEE II WOULD BE OVERLOADED WITH WORK. RAISED ISSUE OF ESTABLISHING THREE WORKING GROUPS IN SUB- COMMITTEE II TO COPE WITH WORK. NOTED THAT CONTINENTAL SHELF UNDER INTERNATIONAL LAW IS WITHIN NATIONAL JURISDICTION AND THAT CHAIRMAN' S PAPERS A/ AC.138/ L13 AND ADD.1 TO THAT PAPER WERE NOT QUITE SATISFACTORY IN THAT REGARD.

3. ARGENTINA NOTED THAT CHAIRMAN' S PAPER WAS NOT ENTIRELY IN LINE WITH 1971 ARRANGEMENTS. HE NOTED THAT ITEM 5 (CONTINENTAL SHELF) IS ALLOCATED TO SUB COMMITTEE I INsofar AS IT CONCERNS INTERNATIONAL JURISDICTION. SINCE CONTINENTAL SHELF IS TRADITIONALLY WITHIN NATIONAL JURISDICTION, THEY DO NOT UNDERSTAND ALLOCATION TO SUB- COMMITTEE I. SIMILARLY, SCIENTIFIC RESEARCH ON THE CONTINENTAL SHELF SHOULD BE ALLOCATED TO SUB- COMMITTEE II AND NOT SUB- COMMITTEE III.

4. AUSTRALIA (HARRY) HAD SAME DIFFICULTIES WITH ITEM 5 AS ARGENTINA, BUT WOULD NOT OBJECT TO PRECEDURE WHEREIN ITEM WOULD BE DISCUSSED BY SUB- COMMITTEE I, AS NECESSARY. HE FAVORED LEAVING ITEM TO BE DEALT WITH IN ACCORDANCE WITH 1971 ARRANGEMENTS. SUPPORTED LATIN PROPOSAL AND CHAIRMAN' S COCUMENTS HELPFUL FOR SPECIFIC ALLOCATIONS.

4. TURKEY, WHILE ACCEPTING LATIN AMERICA PROPOSAL, DID NOT THINK THAT COMMITTEE SHOULD ADOPT CHAIRMAN' S DOCUMENTS
UNCLASSIFIED

PAGE 03 USUN N 00805 091452 Z

ON ALLOCATION BUT THAT THEY SHOULD BE AVAILABLE FOR SUB- COMMITTEES TO TAKE INTO ACCOUNT. (CHAIRMAN STATED HE DID NOT INTEND TO ASK COMMITTEE TO ADOPT DOCUMENTS.)

5. CAMEROON (ENGO) SAID THAT QUESTION OF CONTINENTAL SHELF IS WIDE OPEN AND SUB- COMMITTEE I MUST DEAL WITH IT AS WELL AS SUB- COMMITTEE II AS APPROPRIATE. SUGGESTED THAT IN REFERRING TO CONTINENTAL SHELF IN CHAIRMAN' S PAPER, REFERENCE NOT BE MADE TO RELATING TO " NATIONAL

JURISDICTION" AND " INTERNATIONAL JURISDICTION."

6. CANADA (BEASLEY) SUPPORTED STATEMENTS OF THOSE CONCERNED WITH CONTINENTAL SHELF AND SUPPORTED CAMEROON SUGGESTION. URGED SUPPORT OF LATING AMERICA PROPOSAL.

7. CHILE (ZEGERS) AND VENEZUELA SAID PURPOSE OF PROPOSAL WAS TO AVOID PROCEDURAL DEBATE.

8. NORWAY SAID CONTINENTAL SHELF WITIN NATIONAL JURISDICTION AND THEREFORE INTERNATIONAL JURISDICTION CANNOT ARISE. PREPARED TO ACCEPT PROPOSAL OF CAMEROON, AS WAS U. K. (JACKLING) AND EGYPT.

9. SINGAPORE SAID THAT WHILE 1971 AGREEMENTS SHOULD BE MAINTAINED, THEY MAY NOT BE SUFFICIENT. PREFER APPROACH OF CHAIRMAN TO MAKE EXPLICIT WHICH ITEMS OF LIST ARE ALLOCATED TO WHAT SUB- COMMITTEES.

10. MALTA (PARDE) FELT THAT LATIN AMERICAN PROPOSALS OVERLOAD SUB- COMMITTEE II AND IS ALSO DEFICIENT IN DEALING WITH NEW USES OF SEA. THERE ARE DEFICIENCIES IN THE ORGANIZATION OF WORK.

11. US (MOORE) SAW AN EMERGING CONSENSUS AROUND LATIN PROPOSAL WHICH US WILLING TO JOIN.

12. USSR DISTRESSED THAT CHAIRMAN SEEMED TO BE RENOUNCING HIS DOCUMENT WHICH MADE EXPLICIT ALLOCATIONS. ASKED THAT VENEZUELA MIGHT PREPAJE ADDENDUM TO LATING PROPOSAL SETTING FORTH SPECIFICS.

13. CHAIRMAN SAID THAT LATIN PROPOSAL SHOULD BE READ UNCLASSIFIED

PAGE 04 USUN N 00805 091452 Z

ALONG WITH HIS AND THAT HE WILL CONSULT WITH SUB- COMMITTEE CHAIRMEN AS NECESSARY TO AVOID OR COPE WITH PROBLEMS.

14. VENEZUELA COULD GO ALONG WITH SOVIET SUGGESTION RE ADDENDUM TO LATIN PROPOSAL, BUT SUGGESTED CHAIRMAN SHOULD APPLY THE AGREEMENT. STATED THAT CONTINENTAL SHELF IS WITHIN NATIONAL JURISDICTION UNDER INTERNATIONAL LAW.

15. CAMEROON SAID LATING PROPOSAL IS GENERAL STATEMENT OF PRINCIPLES AND THOUGHT THE CHAIRMAN SHOULD APPLY THE PRINCIPLES. ASKED IF THERE WERE SPECIFIC PROBLEMS WITH THE CHAIRMAN' S PAPERS AND CHILE INDICATED ITEM 6 (EXCLUSIVE ECONOMIC ZONE) WAS ASSIGNED TO SUB- COMMITTEE II EXCEPT WITH RESPECT TO MARINE POLLUTION AND SCIENTIFIC RESEARCH. HE THOUGHT THOSE TWO ISSUES ARE PART OF

PROBLEM OF ZONE AND THEREFORE SUGGESTED THAT THEY SHOULD
ALSO BE CONSIDERED IN SUB- COMMITTEE II.

16. THE CHAIRMAN NOTED THAT THE CONSENSUS APPROVES THE
LATIN PROPOSAL AND THAT HIS DOCUMENTS SHALL FORM THE BASIS
FOR ALLOCATION OF SPECIFIC ITEMS WITH MODIFICATION TO
ITEMS 5.4 AND 5. 5 (CONTINENTAL SHELF) AND TO 6.1
(ECONOMIC ZONE) ALONG LINES SUGGESTED ABOVE.
PHILLIPS

UNCLASSIFIED

<< END OF DOCUMENT >>

Message Attributes

Automatic Decaptioning: X
Capture Date: 02 APR 1999
Channel Indicators: n/a
Current Classification: UNCLASSIFIED
Concepts: n/a
Control Number: n/a
Copy: SINGLE
Draft Date: 09 MAR 1973
Decaption Date: 01 JAN 1960
Decaption Note:
Disposition Action: n/a
Disposition Approved on Date:
Disposition Authority: n/a
Disposition Case Number: n/a
Disposition Comment:
Disposition Date: 01 JAN 1960
Disposition Event:
Disposition History: n/a
Disposition Reason:
Disposition Remarks:
Document Number: 1973USUNN00805
Document Source: ADS
Document Unique ID: 00
Drafter: n/a
Enclosure: n/a
Executive Order: N/A
Errors: n/a
Film Number: n/a
From: USUN NY
Handling Restrictions: n/a
Image Path:
ISecure: 1
Legacy Key: link1973/newtext/t19730346/abqcdxro.tel
Line Count: 174
Locator: TEXT ON-LINE
Office: n/a
Original Classification: UNCLASSIFIED
Original Handling Restrictions: n/a
Original Previous Classification: n/a
Original Previous Handling Restrictions: n/a
Page Count: 4
Previous Channel Indicators:
Previous Classification: n/a
Previous Handling Restrictions: n/a
Reference: n/a
Review Action: RELEASED, APPROVED
Review Authority: thigpegh
Review Comment: n/a
Review Content Flags:
Review Date: 07 SEP 2001
Review Event:
Review Exemptions: n/a
Review History: RELEASED <07-Sep-2001 by maustmc>; APPROVED <25-Jan-2002 by thigpegh>
Review Markings:

Declassified/Released
US Department of State
EO Systematic Review
30 JUN 2005

Review Media Identifier:
Review Referrals: n/a
Review Release Date: n/a
Review Release Event: n/a
Review Transfer Date:
Review Withdrawn Fields: n/a
Secure: OPEN
Status: NATIVE
Subject: LOS: MAIN COMMITTEE MEETING MARCH 8 - SECOND MEETING
TAGS: PBOR, UN
To: STATE INFO CARACAS
YAOUNDE
MOSCOW
BUENOS AIRES
CANBERRA
OTTAWA
SANTIAGO

OSLO
SINGAPORE
VALLETTA

Type: TE

Markings: Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review 30 JUN 2005